

Date: 02/13/01  
Page: 1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM  
IDENTIFICATION FORM

-----  
AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

Released under the John F. Kennedy  
Assassination Records Collection  
Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).  
Case#:NW 53216 Date: 06-14-2017

-----  
DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM : MILER.  
TO :  
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD  
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO  
DATE : 05/14/69  
PAGES : 51  
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO  
ANATOLE  
NBR  
  
DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET  
RESTRICTIONS :  
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01  
OPENING CRITERIA :  
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED  
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14  
MAY 1969.

**CLASSIFIED  
ATTACHMENT**

-----  
[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~SECRET~~

MR'S E -

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Nosenko

DATE

14 May 69

FILE NUMBER

He was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security

"Solicitation Topics for Vassili Nosenko"

(A copy of the draft was given to CECI on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 7 April 69,  
Subject: Anatole Re Nosenko  
with att's A B + C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

C. Sestini

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE 16 JANUARY '69
SUBJECT ANATOLE RE Solie Nosenko PAPER	FILE NUMBER	

A Question if he stated in re  
 his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk  
that it was a military  
code clerk which was  
recruited — more likely,  
he stated important  
recruit week code clerk

may be military —  
(Check ref in Solie paper — this  
probably DA - 54 — info from  
FBI wire transcript (?) Kovshuk  
in Dec '60 ).

OFFICE AND TITLE Vice	SIGNATURE
--------------------------	-----------

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

16 January 61

FILE NUMBER

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

(Available Reviewing Solic Absentee paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was.)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dcc 60-Jan 61 - in offices  
1. PETROV'S Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find similar reports about Cook for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT <b>(2) 60-61 Nosekko -</b>	FILE NUMBER	
<p>If Petrov not responsible for success why would he have "mixed" report in his Section? A overelected élite ask for rewards.</p> <p>A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Stribanov announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this unknown. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with documents &amp; rewards to cases and targets.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

1000 1000

~~SECRET~~

1001

		<del>SECRET</del>	
MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE	15 January '69
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER		
Nosekko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60			
<p>Amatull says only photograph will substantiate Nosekko, as Nikolaev, did travel there Amsterdam — RR to Berlin —, shown alone as traveller on manifests, travel control, etc., not substantiation.</p> <p>Request he been shown documentation to substantiate actually was Nosekko.</p>			
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE		
	W. S. Miller		

FORM  
7-52 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

FILE NUMBER

15 January '69  
U

SUBJECT

Viscuso Attitude re Surfacing

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribausk from what he has provided. This to be test see W's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribausk. Set stage see what might be done.

(W)

Solid opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solis that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. News

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
UNCLASSIFIED AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

15 Jan 69

Klippen - Griswold relatives -  
Cecatole says persons took  
together net hunting or fishing -  
Some time re appointment line  
observed Reckright the says  
the persons not right.

Did not understand poset!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Shultz

FORM  
7-52 1954

CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

NOsauko Notes

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring HQ another Department, Check on Sales and material contact.

A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He doesn't accept transfer notes with N.

A questions No notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have. A says flatly military unit under Kovstek. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstek.

Giorgi Zukov - ? demotion 5B A agrees.  
(ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show chief Section.  
Need to check "C papers" re Kovstek status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

J. C. Miller

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONEXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

FORM 7-62 1954

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

CHEREPANOV write-up from British  
(caption: Aleksandr Nikolaevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solic Acad. Copy to Riu Today,  
JA Acad.  
Avatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-E2 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission, He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Bustolo does not accept Kovshuk's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solei, he does not recall if he'd met anyone in US was girls. Solei said N indicated who involved girls in US.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according this information but did indicate needed investigation. Relates to cases he is studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

A. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONEXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DEGRADED AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 Jan 69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

Anatole, noting in transcript N's statement Svi's self, not KGB suggests this be investigated. He is of opinion he might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A says he thinks the best info case be studying - developing but in response to held question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~FORM  
7-62 1954GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION  
DRAFT ASSOCIATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January, '69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko-Chernov-Gekt

Ayatole Bayan N-C-G closest  
of friends. Nikolai Kirov also C & G  
esp & agents and vice versa.

(Point) A could identify Vassal  
by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Gekt in  
Suisse would have been targetted vs.  
Americans not concerned with  
frustrations Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question  
said he knew for fact that N-C-G  
closest friends - professional, social-  
talk about women, etc., and he  
had been present when N & C  
talking about operations using  
language, i.e., doubletalk, so  
he, A, did not know what they  
really talking about but indicating  
to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

FORM  
7-62 1954

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

V. Nosenko Duties American Section

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Heibauer would not tolerate "Planning" as part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazhevich did not know language, Kosyakov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Chervakov debris - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and die of contact.

The response to Solis note he H approach to Kryazhevich at airport, which Solis noted Kryazhevich could not confirm, A gave no confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) Ni's American Section

A very positive weak point in Ni's story is American Section duty -  
it can substantiate - no confirmation -  
not normal - a reconstruction not  
actual role at time.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Nader

FORM 1954  
7-62

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 Jan '69

FILE NUMBER

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

V. NOSENKO

Anatole emphasized point that  
Nosenko should have directly participated  
in approach to American Embassy  
personnel or been in secret contact  
with Americans during the period  
Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in  
Dept I Section T. Another point N's  
good language, experience, etc.,  
according to A's knowledge, means  
that N should have followed Ameri-  
can ops plan and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
UPGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to a question Solie said only direct confrontation N had with ~~██████████~~ was in hallway where ~~██████████~~ pitched back ~~██████████~~ had not identified N. Solie said it had attended a (one?) 4<sup>th</sup> July celebration at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January '69

FILE NUMBER

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

Nozakko Beau Gideon

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that  
Nozakko is not a provocateur(s).  
A statement Gideon reiterated  
to J. Angleton, B. Solis and S. Miles.  
Gideon's concern is to point  
out the contradictions, major areas,  
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Ruler

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		<del>SECRET</del>	DATE 17 Jan '69
SUBJECT <b>ANATOLE RE NOSENKO</b>	FILE NUMBER <b>TAPE - 1</b>		
<p>Anatole read three several volumes of transcripts of Solis interviews with Nosenko, the Chekpaev papers including the Russian and Solis's paper re Nosenko from Lida; He recorded this tape.</p> <p>Baudouin — Chekpaev papers —      Met Bagley again and Dept SC Directorate      Didn't get file to review but      It made clear to Bagley double agent      Newspaper account made it clear to      A same source as discussed with      Bagley 1963. Went to McCona      1964 rec'd file from newspaper re 1)      Quico 64 - analysis protracted      Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB      service background - no other      analysis of whole case. but better      information, etc.</p> <p>A position was lead to have all      info he would need of his but      went to negotiate with no action - probably</p>			
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE		

FORM  
7-62 1954

17 Jan '69  
~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROU  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Wanted to re-position - at time could not do research completely - latter would never return because to elicit entire story and hear to (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

M. Solie did good job - did what SB failed to do - fills many gaps - abundant info - touched important aspects of N's background - after analysis thinks many times to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur in a decision.

One State Conclusion: 8-9 vol. of info  
Solie elicited basis (good) for  
Conclusion -

Final vol. conclusion - analysis  
of evidence - mistakes made -  
analysis & conclusion not supported  
by previous vol. of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type celebre defector from KGB  
need to assess timing and recovering  
account for what happened before  
Pavlovsky Case - relevant re  
walk-in agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -  
info re ops - agents - military - personnel  
After Popov arrest within 48 hrs  
another GRU walk-in - need  
analyze Pavlovsky light Popov  
parallel - up-dated info. look at Pavlovsky  
from Popov

1. Nosenko background against what  
went before - difference Pavk 2 yrs  
key to Pavlovsky war missile info  
which took more than year to prepare  
Pavk or to Rocket School 59 - gave him basis  
Similar to Nosenko what before

Golitsyn case Dec 61 - info re KGB  
structure - major reorganization, new tasks  
personnel, operations, agents - some  
FCD + some SCD - P info indicated  
long recruitment preparation A.M.D.W.B Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - NOZenko  
contacts CIA in Geneva. He later here gave vast  
info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -  
Speaks impressively freely - like CIA  
Pavkovskiy - How can (A) your question  
be on side because he gave freely -  
Cooperation not criteria to assess  
defector - if defector respects himself  
he may not cooperate so free.

The more cooperative we will suspect  
because we had to tell Story - do mission  
Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Pavkovskiy  
had green light - parallel to Golitsyn.

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD  
info available to CIA then NOZenko gets  
green light - difference in detail.

1<sup>st</sup> Job task assessment - background -  
Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio  
not speak about Pavkovskiy - speak of NOZenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT		FILE NUMBER
<p>I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this      he Moscuro - some of minister - no question against      Ansorge why SB concluded not N, etc.      important see if any connection to penetration      of CIA - not explain if hostile interrogation      mistake or deliberate but was warning to N,      SB can make mistake or calculated -</p> <p><del>Particular note</del> If CIA accepted decision      (which A had made) then A don't need      all if decision results not getting him info.</p> <p>II Personal character - different opinions      rather like disease - two sides - can be      important but not major - Moscuro re      N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not      that which key - exaggerated - If      decides bona fide then important but      if still questioning then looks differently -      open question -</p> <p>III Service in KGB - determine      details of service claimed as given by A</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I do not associate w/ Soviets and USSR  
if different men factual & significantly lacking,  
but hypothetically there are conceivable facts as  
was done here analyzing that provisions,  
Salaries, etc. acceptable on basis of  
speculation. Examine N info vs other  
evidence - cannot discount evidence  
if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61)  
importance is coincides last 2 <sup>Dec</sup> A says)  
ips of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G  
knows for fact N did not see I 1  
G told Bayley 61 - among first he  
saw Nosenko name - G did not point  
N - but said list wrong because G knew  
N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not fully corroborated.  
many people found this still valid -  
still fact.

Original

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Information to his mission back in this  
Dept. to give authoritative grounds  
short about our US conduct in this  
period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tried  
to see if he knew that took place in T.I.  
See first reaction & check about  
penetration - G did not react as they  
might have expected, Only said wanted  
decease whole - did not exclude  
disinformation.

What is false about background  
is that he worked in T.I. not he held  
position Deputy - false as fact -  
59 position abolished. In 60 was more  
and no N -

GRAZNOV was Deputy T.I.  
in '59 - When deputy abolished  
he became senior basic officer - if  
these were deleted would be  
Graznov to someone in T.I. not new ones

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *as N.*

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

ATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -  
 two types operational and political (policy) - connection  
 KGB in work) 1959 Shlepin - souf - etc.  
 He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,  
 Agents & operations - what significance  
 875 KGB officers (Soviet List -  
 probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents  
 250 current FCD & SDA officers

( tape off - Zemtsov - Michael  
 Secreto photo, Brussels - connection Belitskiy  
 not Yuri - before KGB arrived)

Assessment of info - repeat identities KGB  
 Pavkovskiy did not -  
 Golitsyn did over less -  
 Substance - what this means -  
 How many known CIA or other services  
 subtract this from N's figures -  
~~total~~ minus retirees - teachers -  
 estimate open value remaining -  
 by task (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954SECRET  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT		FILE NUMBER
<p><del>SECRET</del></p> <p>How does he characterize them -      categorize them - just names - nothing to do.</p> <p>TANCHIANOV travelling - suspicious      How N's info fits into what G told <sup>me</sup> <del>personnel</del>      Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification      Not analysis - as in paper - explain which ones      know - how explain confirm other sources?</p> <p>Analysis of what 300 agents mean -      may be very superficial - lacking significance of      agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents      Agents in tourist field - ? of value.      G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy      How many real agents did he identify?      - Johnson defense ministry sources      Analyze overall - then even particular cases      Vassall - analyze in depth</p> <p>Operations - value &amp; significance      KGB in Embassy - Soviet mistake      means American -</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

An record analysis later think he  
 identified Belitsky —

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel  
 propaganda in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHOV leads to  
 secretaries - what significance - analyze.  
 Solis questions if names S+V  
 fed off the Nos volunteered - (check this  
 important re CIA penetrations in SB)  
 Solis hazards guess Nos did not  
 volunteer names.

Coincide strange example same time,  
 as defection V gives evidence like to think  
 Vakrushov's actions supported validity  
 Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -  
 only one with mentioned (by Solis)  
 D-SWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION  
~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have wanted to talk  
about KGB policies - May '59 meeting -  
Shelepin app'd - interrogatory send report.  
did not come - only relevant was new  
task KGB -

RUMANTSEV - sent in '59 for  
contact with Americans -  
tried to give secret report of Shelepin -  
order report everyone read - SCD  
didn't explain how Rumantsev opposed  
who agent, who exposed -

Soviet info

Tulipants - errors, inconsistencies - omissions  
conclusions info

JH 62-64  
One fact kept from SR, War in fo.  
re May 59 - Shelepin to see if  
defector would disclose - important

McCone - Khrushchev described, act 64  
B explained

OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXEMPTED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info disclosed CIA - FBI  
3rd country officials - very serious  
reacts from ex liaison investigating actions

C1 - Analysis - evidence NOS not benefit  
detected but sent special mission

1ST - Mistake made interview NOS by one  
new - wider experience - specialists -  
special assessors - impossible to new

2nd Dissemination question - can be no  
broad dissemination - mistake to send  
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -  
before giving all this work should be  
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph  
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
RECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read files of info -

1. Info re SCD acquired in American Embassy  
53-64 -

Audrey - identified as Smith - Spain codes -  
Howard -

NDS Spied on 5x2 info file.

Annual reports DeptS - Directorate - rewards.

SCD under open - FCD taken to table -

Chairman, Gak, Koushuk

2. Factual basis disagreement no success

vs Andrei - Biggest Agent SCD Service 54-55-56  
case completed. no details - new info

success explains promotion Col Kurbenauov Collection  
NOT Audrey - (dismissed) Curtaentua -  
CATHERINE

KGB college took no KGB Agent. 1<sup>st</sup> time annual theoretical  
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.  
invited to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATIONFORM  
7-62 1954

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G notable make research re who in re Col \*  
 recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalingrad  
 or Moscow 35 - our officers - points this way  
 helping all aspects b) recruited anti-high  
 level; c) someone CIA that paid - or code  
 name with big production -

4. Indication some connection Kostuk  
 by 57 - may be same or not - which was  
 declassified by Kovshuk in 1966 -  
 leaving aside ANDREY -  
 mentioned he (Kovshuk) sleep with agent in D.C.  
 + drinking - woman agent in State or agent seen from Moscow -

Venerable (venereal) disease

(VAL'gina) actress w/ KOVSHUK. Tuge  
 LAPUHOV (P)

(Reviews <sup>FBI</sup> surveillance reports - females)  
 doesn't exclude or reject ANDREY - could be

Coincidence date Kovshuk & Faugolle  
 return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape A told us  
Langelle went known in  
advance he to handle one  
agent — when told - who - etc  
(for JA)  
\*\*\*)

ZHUKOV was military field -  
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Regt mil.

5,5859 — important recruitment /  
analogous Popov case -  
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) WZL  
re CIA.

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

Dulacel 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~GROUP 1  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

Break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER

Lansdale Nos says

A drawing to recruit him to  
leave - Jerry CIA man

needed revenge for Report - move  
against those in their hands - (This needs  
(just agreed 53-524 Confidentiality theory.)

KOTOV from Vienna - went  
returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

⊕

Surveillance (or) of Lansdale, significant,  
paper to read -

per A's info

6. Significant surveillance 60-61 which  
now contradicted - C vs Nos - comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says -  
Deputy position, etc. requires reanalysis  
Way to resolve contradiction / conflict analysis

Investigate re contradiction

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXCEPT AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7 Kosolapov trip to Helsinki —  
 controversial direct opposite date  
 names, etc. I reported  
 independent info not favoring NOS —  
 (double-check significance Sofia?)

8 Mission not to place NOS in station but  
 to confirm & mislead after defection  
 He influenced investigation —

Completely inaccurate he worked  
 Dept I 1 Section

Absolute Statement he was not  
 and NO(1) Deputy — a fact —

TAPE II = □ —

9. Code Clerk's case  
 need to be reexamined —

NOS June 62 2 pieces  
 Relationship  
 Russell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

STURGEON  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCEPT AUTOMATIC  
DOWNSIZING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

ATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Goldwyn in  
April 62 -

Enough from Goldwyn so that  
Vassall case would be solved  
irrespective of NDS and UG -  
return to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time  
he warned not to go out with  
British girl because of  
penetration BIS - doubts to  
CIA about security of Brit  
basis & leads )

Cannot accept 6 minutes too short  
Socie says not 6 only 3

Therefore how can you discount  
importance when contact made

JA - contact at point of departure  
from Geneva - long fuel meeting

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 1954  
7-62~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXEMPTION FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		SECRET	
SUBJECT		DATE	
<p>The - and Belitsky case which      convinced our 2 men bona fide      because code (cover) names      our people - alias - also  <del>the</del> named was KGB office      who handling from      Dept D - disinformation -</p>			
<p>ZENKIN - after Breslau lecture      KGB colleague '59 - A's analysis      B case confirmed this what Z said -</p>			
<p>New info in Nos (Soviet) paper -</p>			
<p>Belitsky info could have led to Blahz</p>			
<p>LAVINIA - Golejewski - A concluded      KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blahz -      put together with Belitsky more known KGB -      evidence KGB supporting reliability      of Golejewski -</p>			
OFFICE AND TITLE		SIGNATURE	
FORM 7-62 1954	CLASSIFICATION	GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION	(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER	
<p>Raises question why Golunowski started      City 668 - possible implication to      M1-5 - Why motivation - why      giving away Blake.      Reckey support to a theory Golunowski      introduced by <u>introduction</u>.</p>		
<p>JA - what I used to say that      members M1-5 believe Blake fits      type agent who ideologically      would be give away - no      recruitment, no splitting -      gave away names, aliases, etc.      Supposed his view when in      prison - escape charged M1-5      Dick Ellis controller for      Blake in Korea - DE German      Agent admits - 101 OSS liaison      in NYC - DE controller Philby -</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 7-62 1954

SECRET  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
RECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point out record - detailed  
assessment by British derogatory  
protection - Blighty in Paris -  
long statement - ops - conclusion  
Beltzky said to make contact  
This talk to SR - started Brits  
who turned down then switched  
to CIA.

What exact info Beltzky gave  
Substance re Blake or lead to  
Blake - Something known only to  
small group - (Scientist traveller -)

NDS info not communicate  
claimed he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -  
Important or Give-away  
Pouches

FCD would not need help from SCD to  
open pouches - this strange -  
could be GPU agent -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954CLASSIFICATION  
~~SECRET~~GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER	
<p><del>REF ID: A11254</del></p> <p>HOWARD case -</p> <p>John Deacon Smith - Soviet announcement      interesting took place fall 67 - KGB      worried - if not informed about Nosovs -</p> <p>NIKE (audio) case -</p> <p>Question when Nos gave Sibie says      June 62 - when detailed - 64?</p> <p>SA - Gobitayn info given to Dept May 62      ↳ gave enough to suspect -</p> <p>Question how Nos could get detailed plan      he had - Gribanov would know not      lower level -</p> <p>PETROV - <del>✓</del></p> <p>Major info existed therefore basic give away by Nos</p> <p>Important info to Nos wanted -</p> <p>Slobodan - "New Political Task of KGB"      Bulatow - " " " " " SED "</p> <p>TA - one fact if not 1st thing when defected      would be Nos re Stalapin paper vital      National Security</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKUWAN Jan 18 1964 Paris -  
 V & Kovshov accompanied Nixon -  
 no National Director.

Kovshov - Audrey Case -

Possible Agent GUL 53-54 in U.S.  
 ref to Andreev previous case  
 0 40% -

Green by Solia -

Facts GUL + NOSENKO closest friends  
 closer than Golikova + GUL  
 add Churakov - NO secrets -

Andreev good friend

Solia did GUL talk about NOSENKO - yes  
 some -

Churakov recommended NOSENKO, i.e.,  
 from American dept not from top  
 down - NOSENKO asked what ask when  
 meeting Robertson.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 1954  
7-62

SECRET  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER	
LYALIN - 59 Technical of Directorate Refrigerant and CD		
<p>Electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks      letter re MI research from Source?</p> <p>Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59</p>		
<p>BAGRICHEV may have been case officer      prior 45 to London</p>		
<p>Puchkovskiy investigation -      whereabouts? if Upozocatov knows Pau -</p>		
<p>Supported Viadur      Maurice de Tanne -</p>		
<p>Dinossarv - Vakreher</p>		
<p>SASHA aspect - did not report      Kopiekis - social status under Gribanov      he served Kiev - important - no details      56-59 - 60 A does it know where he was -</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

SECRET  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Mikailov

Pictures travel Germany, Kudin - Unclebo

SASHA (color) five London  
pictures from Europe update A.

Victor Koslov (BIA)

Article about recruitment CIA of KGB —  
whose also — Pearson how came about  
things — ref by Unclebo —

Mannedova, Tula —

Analytic FBI reporting —

overlap similarities DOS & G info —

Pris forward —

His case was allegedly, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE  
1954  
1/14

FILE NUMBER

~~SECRET~~

See with ~~General Office~~

Craigmont - possible connection  
May 60 - Shreveport -

Motorcycle (season)

If appears. Strabbera b/t case  
received -

Koushuk Dec 60. See Vol

2 cases cannot be connected -

[REDACTED] info in 1959 -

no recruitment

60 recruitment Sub and explained -

~~SECRET~~ 45 minutes - Ruler out -

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONEXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		REF ID: A65429 TOP SECRET	DATE
SUBJECT			FILE NUMBER
<p>Taylor approached later outside —      NOS explanation —</p> <p>Dweller —      most crucial point — see himself      with others —?</p> <p>Model — Garbler</p> <hr/> <p>TAPE 3      Garbler      to HORBALY (Hor Bay)      Popov case indication that KGB      learned he warned CIA the under      control. Clear up — penetration CIA —</p> <p>KOVSHUK arrival — connected      Langford — explaining —</p> <p>Recruited CIA man Moscow      before Langford — Kovshuk would work      in Wash.</p>			
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE		
FORM 7-62 1954	REF ID: A65429 TOP SECRET	CLASSIFICATION	GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT		FILE NUMBER
<p style="text-align: center;">TOP SECRET</p> <p>Traps at Kovshuk - sleeping drinking may be about-ups - Will not analyze Chepavov paper - dis (mis) information - major by itself. also support Nosenko - Ground work for new operations  chepavov heads of Section - Harmstone paper - cited head of Section ZHukov in 58 - aug - Worked against diplomats - inconsistent - against military - clear to Nosenko -  Analysed info - not convincing mission Data for mission fits Counter intelligence OFFICE AND TITLE /</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM  
7-62 1954

TOP SECRET  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
PHOTOCOPY  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT		FILE NUMBER
<p>On evidence we doubt prosecution - secret</p> <p>60-61 crucial clause to give him status access to leads -</p> <p>Reinvestigation suggestions to come later -</p> <p>CIA - code man 60-61 Plakovskiy case - CIA complex 55 - to Plakovskiy --</p> <p>SA - may not want comment -</p> <p>Oswald - nothing to state first polygraph - I had heard nothing before assassination</p> <p>French leads - we have not organized</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
EXEMPTED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER	

De Jean French leader  
don't work 107 N -

Viaduct - British assault  
along lines French -

Motivation - not examined

Barghouti - case figured  
prioritately to come to our  
attention

Coordinating Committee  
FCD & SCD / - not exactly  
Coordinating Section within  
FCD - not 2 Directorates  
mainly coordinating intelligence  
and work against Chinese  
intelligence -

Not for purpose of Coordinating  
FCD & SCD influence  
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT		FILE NUMBER
<p>Main time I met up was with Bureau FBI re Sasha -</p> <p>French leads - De Team - partly confirmed by Viadukt &amp; Nasenko in the interrogation - (consequently) De Team said he approached &amp; gave some info to Gribanov Challet said per A - A → GorBunov (Gribanov)</p> <p>Giving away of De Team -</p> <p>DST says no recruitment agreed she an agent</p> <p>(Canadian) Rock Bottom - Canadian Deb - base Nos leads fit Belgian leads -</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

16 January 69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko is positive

FILE NUMBER

(Avatola Reviewing Sotie Nosenko file)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no secretaries of Am EMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60-Jan 61 - in offices V. PETROV Section, Petrov told personnel he could not find Neniko reports and had look for it.

OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE
V. V. - elektro	

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

DATE

FILE NUMBER

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(2) 60-61 Nosecky

If Petrov not responsible for  
 Svetlov why would he make  
 "mixed" reports in his Section?  
 A overlooked him ask for  
 report.

A says he is sure that before his  
 defection N would have no  
 problem learning about  
 recruitments and operations.  
 Distributor authorized  
successes - rewards  
 followed in few days - this  
 known, Point A makes it  
 that N would know - he  
 could analyze who doing  
 what and piece together  
 with announcements +  
 rewards to cases and  
 targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 1954  
7-52

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE 15 January 69
SUBJECT <i>SKVORTSOV - Stansberg Case America House</i>	FILE NUMBER	
<p>A says someone that SKVORTSOV could pass as French businessman.</p> <p>A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not to understand cover - too many questions.</p> <p>Stansberg identification question is not decided. Solely with Skovtsov and Motore identification and that Skovtsov used English and went to America House with Finnish party. A says cover would break easily.</p> <p>In Vienna Skovtsov did not speak French. A could be wrong - maybe he Skovtsov started learning via dictionary after '55.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE <i>G. S. Miller</i>	

FORM 1954  
7-62

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM  
IDENTIFICATION FORM

-----  
AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

-----  
DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM : MILER.  
TO :  
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD  
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO  
DATE : 05/14/69  
PAGES : 51  
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO  
ANATOLE  
NBR  
  
DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET  
RESTRICTIONS :  
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01  
OPENING CRITERIA :  
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED  
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14  
MAY 1969.

-----  
[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

CLASSIFICATION  
~~SECRET~~

"MR'S E -

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

ANATOLE LE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

He was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security

"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the draft was given to CECI on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the memo for the record dated 7 April 69,  
Subject: Anatole Le Nosenko  
with att's A B + C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE RE Solie Nosenko PAPER

A Question if he stated in re  
his Dec '60 talk with Kostuk  
that it was a military  
code clerk which was  
recruited — more likely,  
he stated important  
recruitment code clerk  
may be military -  
( Check ref in Solie paper - this  
note by DA - 574 - lists from  
FBI wire transcript (?) Kostuk  
in Dec '60 ).

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V + e/c1

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

16 January 69

(An article Reviewing Some Resolved paper)

2 points of "misinformation"  
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy, Chief - (N says he was.)
2. There were no recruitment of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60-Jan 61 - in offices V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find such reports Amemb took for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER	
(2) 60-61 Nosekko -		
<p>If Petrov not responsible for          Svetsov, why would he have          "mixed" report in his Section?          A overheard him ask for          reports.</p> <p>A says he is sure that before his          defection N would have no          problem learning about          recruitments and operations.          Svetsov announced          successes - rewards          followed in few days - this          unknown. Point A makes is          that N would know - he          could analyze who doing          what and piece together          with announcements +          rewards to cities and          targets.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

卷之三

**SECRET**

## GROUP I

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Noseauko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60

Anatole says only photograph will substantiate Noseauko, as Nikolaev, did travel there Amsterdam - RR to Berlin - names alone as traveller on manifests, travel contract, etc. not substantiation.

Requests to be shown documentation to substantiate actually was Noseauko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

H. S. Miller

FORM  
7-52 1954~~SECRET~~GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January '69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko Attitude re Surfacing

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribanov from what he has provided. This to be test see his reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribanov. Set stage see what might be done.

(4)

Sole opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Sole that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

DATE

15 Jan 69

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Gitanco relatives -

Bentley says knows took  
together re hunting or fishing -  
Gitanco re appointment in  
Nosedal Tandem Re says  
the persons not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

H. Shuler

FORM 7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFIED BY [REDACTED]GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

NOSENKO Notes

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department. Check on Safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He doesn't accept transfer notes with N.

A questions No notes dealing with Military targeting. How would he know. A says flatly military info under Kovstuk. Possible Pitrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstuk.

Giorgi Zukov - ? demotion 58 A agrees. (Zukov) chepanov papers show chief section. Need to check "C papers" re Kovstuk status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

DATE

15 January '69

FILE NUMBER

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

CHEREPANOV write-up from British  
(caption: Aleksandr Nikolaevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solic read. Copy to Riu today.

JA read.

Avaloff

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion.

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Kosanke's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, referring to Solie, he does not know of 'k' d problems in US war girls. Solie said N indicated who involved girls in US.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according his information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he is studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

J. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUPL  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 Jan 69

FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

TAMARA MARIE DOVA

Anatole, noting in transcript N's Statement Solis ask, not KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solis noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A says he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January '69

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko-Chernov-Galk

Avala says N-C-G closest  
of friends. He would know all C & G  
esp & agents and vice versa.

Points: N could identify Vassal  
by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Galk in  
Switzerland would have been targeted US.  
Americans not concerned with  
frustrations Swiss police.

In answer to JA question  
said he knew for fact that N-C-G  
closest friends - professional, social-  
talk about women, etc., and he  
had been present when N & C  
talking about operations using  
language, i.e., doublespeak, so  
he, A, did not know what they  
really talking about but indicating  
to A they intimate re operations

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

C. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DEGRADED AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January 69

FILE NUMBER

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

V. Nosenko Duties American Section

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Kurbakov would not tolerate "planning" or part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazhev did not know language, Kosikov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Chernakov debris - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and die of contact.

The response to Solie note re. N approach to Karpov at airport, which Solie noted Karpov could not confirm, A gave no confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE


MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) N's Duties American Section

A very positive weak point in N's Story is American Section duty -  
 N can substantiate - no confirmation -  
 not normal - a reconstruction not  
 actual role at time.



OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 Jan '69

FILE NUMBER

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

V. NOSENKO

ANATOLE emphasized point that Nosenko should have directly participated in approach to American Embassy personnel or been in social contact with Americans during the period Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in Dept I Section T. A weaker point N's good language, experience, etc., according to A's knowledge, means that N should have followed American Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's  
question Solie said only direct  
confrontation N had with  
American was in hallway  
when [REDACTED] pitched her  
[REDACTED] had not identified N.  
Solie said A had attended  
a (one?) 4th July celebration  
at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

SECRET  
CLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION  
~~SECRET~~

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nozakko Bound Tides

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that  
Nozakko is not a provocateur(s):  
a statement Anatole reiterated  
to J. Augsten, B. Solie and S. Miller.  
Anatole's concern is to point  
out the contradictions, major areas,  
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

DATE

17 Jan '69

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read three several volumes of transcripts of Solis interviews with Nosenko, the Cheka papers including the Russian and Solis's paper re Nosenko from file. He recorded ~~the~~ his comments:

Bowdoin — Cheka papers —  
met Bagley at one Adm Dept SC Divisionate  
Didn't get file to review but  
it made clear to Bagley double agent  
Newspaper account made it clear to  
A source source as discussed with  
Bagley 1962. Went to McNamee  
1964 rec'd file from Murphy and  
Queso 64 - analysis provided  
Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB  
service background - no deep  
analysis of whole case. but notes  
misinformation, etc.  
A position was had to have acc  
info he would/could give but  
want to negotiate - problems

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - latter agreement re interrogation to elicit entire story and had us (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

M. Sohe did good job - did what SB failed to do. fills many gaps - abundant info -包括 important aspects of background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

One state Conclusion: 8-9 vol's of info  
SAC elicited basis (good) for  
Conclusion -

Final vol conclusion - analysis  
of evidence - mistakes made -  
analysis & conclusions not supported  
by previous vol's of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type cover letter defector from KGB  
need - to assess timing and warning  
account for what happened before  
Penkovsky Case - relevant re  
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -  
info re opp - agents - military - personnel  
after Popov arrest within 1/2 yrs  
another GRU walk-in - need

Analyze Penkovsky, lighter Popov  
parallel - up-dated info. Look at Penkovsky  
from Popov

i. Nosenko background against what  
went before - difference. Paul 2 yrs  
key to Penkovsky was missile info  
which took more than year to prepare

Paul got to Rocket School 59 - gave him basis

similar to Nosenko what before

Golitzyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB  
structure - major reorganization, new tasks  
personnel, operations, agents - some

FCD + some SCD - C info indicated

some secret heavy preparation AMEMB Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - NoSenko  
contacts CIA in Geneva. Later here vast  
info KGB, Structure, personnel, operations -  
Speaks impressively freely - first cite

Peukovsky - How can (A) you question  
both sides because he gave freely -  
Cooperation not criteria to assess  
defector - if defector respects himself  
he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect  
because we had to tell Story - do mission  
Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Peukovsky  
had green light - parallel to Golitsyn

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD  
info available to CIA then NoSenko gets  
green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -

Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio  
not speak about Peukovsky - speak of NoSenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this  
he Nosekho - some of minister - no question against  
Analyze why SB concluded not N, etc.  
important see if any connection to neutralization  
of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation  
mistake or deliberate but was warning to N.  
SB can make mistake or calculated -  
~~Patriotism~~ If CIA accepted decision  
(which A had made) they AI don't mind  
all if decision results not getting into it.

II Personal character - different officiers  
other job disease - two sides - can be  
important but not major - Nosekho re  
N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not  
that which key - exaggerated - If  
decides bona fide then important but  
if still questioning then look differently -  
open question -

III Service in KGB - determine  
details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATIONFORM  
7-62 1954

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not ascertainable in service and years  
of different men factual & significantly lacking  
factually. Then we cannot say as  
we do in analysis that promotions,  
salaries, etc, acceptable on basis of  
speculation. Examine N info vs other  
evidence - cannot discount evidence  
if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61)  
importance is coincides last 2 <sup>Dec</sup> A says)  
pts of G - important info.

NOT true according to G's - G  
knows for fact N did not serve in  
G told Bayley 62 - among list he  
saw Nasauko name - G did not pinpoint  
N - but said list wrong because G knew  
N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory even though  
many people think this. Still valid -  
solid fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Importance to his mission that in this  
Dept. to give authoritative grounds  
speak about our US Ambassadors in this  
period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A Speculate - maybe G tried  
to see if he knew that USSR N was in T.L.  
See first reaction - check about  
concentration - G did not react as they  
might have expected. Only said wanted  
coverage whole - did not exclude  
disinformation.

What is false about background  
is that he worked in T.L. and he held  
position Deputy - false as fact -  
159 position abolished. In 60 was there  
and no N -

GRIAZNOV was Deputy, T.L.  
in '59 - when deputy abolished  
she became second base officer - if  
This were all that would be  
GRIAZNOV or someone in T.L. not newcomer

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *[Signature]*

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -  
two types Operational and political (policy - connection  
KGB in gov't) 1959 Shlepin - ouf - etc  
He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,  
agents & operations - what significance  
875 KGB officers (Sole link -  
probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents  
250 current FCD & SCD officers

( tape off - Zackin - Michael  
Solzhenitsyn photo. Brussels - connection Belitsky,  
not Yuri - lecture KGB school)

Assess N info - repeat identities KGB  
Pavkovskiy did this -  
Golitsyn did even less -  
Substance - what this mean -  
How many known CIA or others services  
subtract this from N's figures -  
~~total~~ minus retirees - traitors -  
estimate opa value remaining -  
by task (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-52 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -

categorize them - just names - nothing to do,

TAKCHIANOV travelling - suspicious

How N's info fits into what G told personnel

Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification

Not analysis - as in paper - explain which ones

know - how explain confirm other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents mean -

+ may be very superficial - lacking significance of  
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents  
agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy

How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry sources

Analyze overall - then even particular cases

Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance.

Thieves in Embassy - Soviet mistake

means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

For record analyze later that he  
identified Preliminary —

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel  
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to  
recruitment - what significance - analyze -  
Solic questions if names S + V 10  
led or the Nos volunteered - (check this  
important re CIA penetration in SB)  
Solic hazards guess Nos did not  
volunteer names.

Coincide Strange example same time.  
as defection V gives evidence like to drink  
Vakrushev's actions supported validity  
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -  
only one name mentioned (by Solic)  
D. SWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoken about KGB policies - May '59 meeting - Shlepin app'd - obligatory read report. did not come - only relevant was new task KGB -

RHMANTSEV - Shot in '59 for contact with Americans - tried to give secret report of Shlepin - ORDER - report everyone read - SCD didn't explain how Rumantsch opposed who agent who opposed -

Szulagowski

Judgments - errors, circumstances - omissions  
conclusions info

SA 62-64  
One fact kept from SR, was info re May '59 - Shlepin to see if defector would disclose - important

McCull - KGB defector described as act 64  
as explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATIONFORM  
7-62 1954

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info no discredited CIA - FBI  
3rd country efficiency - very second  
refugee from ex Tunisian university abroad

1st - Analysis - evidence NOS not bankable  
defector but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made interview NOS by one  
man - wider experience - Specialists -  
special assessors - responsible man

2nd Dissemination question - can be no  
broad dissemination - mistake to send  
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -  
before giving all this work should be  
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph  
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~GROUP 1  
EXCEPT AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read rest of info -

1. Info re SCD agents in American Embassy  
53-64 -

Audrey - identified as Smith repair codes -  
Howard -

NDS Should know 5x2 if not more.

Annual reports Dept's - Directorate - rewards.

SCD under open - FCD taken to talk -

Chernakov, Guk, Koushule

2. Factual basis disagreement no success

vs Andrei - Biggest And Dept SCD Success 54-55-56  
case completed. no details - new info

success explains promotion Col Kurkentauov Correlation  
NOT Audrey - (dismissed) Gurentauov -  
GURKENTAUOV

KGB college talk to KGB Agent. 1st time general theoretical  
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.  
invited to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~FORM  
7-62 1954GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G notable make research re who in re Col. \*  
recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalingrad  
or Moscow 55 - one <sup>3</sup> officers - points this may  
help analyze all aspects b) recruited Auto-high  
level; c) Someone CIA That pseud - or code  
name with big production -

4. Indication some penetration by East  
by 57 - may be same or not - which was  
reactivated by Kostuk in Moscow -  
leaving aside ANDREY -  
mentioned by (Kostuk) slept with agent in D.C.  
& drinking - woman agent in State or agent seen from Moscow -

Venereal (venereal) disease

➡ (VAL'gina) actress w/ Kostuk - Iuga  
LAPUHOV (P)

(Review <sup>FBI</sup> surveillance reports - females -)  
doesn't exclude or reject ANDREY - could be

coincidence date Kostuk & Kugelje  
return from Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape A told us  
 Langelle went return in  
 advance he to handle one  
 agent — when told - who - etc  
 for JA  
 \*\*\* )

ZHUKOV was military field -  
 (O Dec Zhukov still in 1st Dept Mil.

5,5859 — important recruitment /  
 involving Popov case -  
 areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) Mo Zent  
 re CIA .

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

Dulacchi 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(break in tape telephone call)

Langelle Nos says

A decision to recruit him to  
leave - (every CIA man)needed revenue for Pops - move  
against those in their "clouds" - (This needs  
(just against 53-54 compromise theory.)Kotov from Vienna - went  
returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

Surveillance (for) of Langelle, significant.  
'paper G' read -  
<sup>for A's info</sup>6. Significant infiltration 60-61 which  
now controversial - C vs Nos - comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says -

Deputy position, etc. requires analysis  
Way to resolve controversy / conflict analyze.

Tunstall all no penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

⑦ Kosolapov trip to Helsinki —  
 controversy - direct opposite dates  
 names, etc - supported  
 independent info not favoring NOS -  
 (double-check - significance Sofia?)

⑧ Mission not to place NOS in station but  
 to continue & mislead after defection  
 He influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked  
 Dept I 1 Section

Absolute statement he was not  
 and NO(1) Deputy — a fact -

TAPE II = □ -

⑨. Code Clarke case  
 need to be reexamined -

NOS Jan 63 2 pieces  
 Belitsky  
 Russell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Golitsyn in  
April 62 -  
Enough from Golitsyn so that  
Vassall case would be solved  
irrespective of NDS and UGP -  
return to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time,  
he warned not to go out with  
British girl because of  
penetration BIS -- doubts to  
CIA about security of Brit  
pals & leads )

Cannot accept 6 months too short  
Solic says not 6 only 3  
Therefore basis can you discount  
importance when contact made  
JW - contacts at ports of departure  
from Geneva - only four meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

TA - and Belitsky case which  
 convinced our 2 men (but later  
 because code (cover) names)  
 our people - alias - also  
 named was KGB officer  
 who handling from  
 Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Brussels lecture  
 KGB colleague '59 - An analysis  
 B case confirmed this what 2 said -

New info in Nos (Soviet) paper -

Belitsky info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golejewski - A concluded  
 KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake -  
 put together with Belitsky more known KGB -  
 evidence KGB supporting reliability  
 of Golejewski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
 DOWNGRADING AND  
 DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raines questions why Gliniewski started  
why (CCB) - possible implication to  
MI-5 - why motivations - why  
giving away Blake.

Potentially support to A theory Gliniewski  
introduced by Gribbleton.

JA - what I had to say certain  
members MI-5 believe Blake fits  
type agent who ideologically  
would be give away - no  
recruitment, no spotting -  
Gave away names, codes, etc.  
Opposed our view when in  
prison - escape changed MI-5  
Dick Ellis controller for  
Blake in Korea - DE German  
agent admits - No 1 OSS liaison  
in NYC - DB controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNgrading AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory provocation - Philpotts et Paris - long statement - ops - conclusive Belitsky said to make contact this sent to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitsky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group (Scientist traveller -)

NDS info not commensurate claims he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -

Important or Give-away -  
Policies

FCD would not need help from SCB to open packages - this strange - could be GRU agent -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

HOWARD - case -

John George Smith — Soviet announced  
 interrogating took place fall 67 — KGB  
 worried if we informed about Nosarbo —

Mike (audio) case -

Question when Nos gave Sotlie says  
 June 62 - when details - 64?

JA → Gorbachev info wishes to Dept May 62  
 ↳ gave enough to inspect.

Question how Nos could get detailed plan  
 he had — Gorbachev would know not  
 lower level -

PETROV →

Major info existed Therefore basic give away by Nos

Important info Nos available -

Stalinist — "New Political Task of KGB"  
 Gorbachev — " " " " " SCD"

JA - one first if not 1st thing when defected  
 would be Nos re Stalinist paper vital  
 National Security

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw V.A.Kushner Jan 18 1964 Paris -  
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -  
no mention Diogenes -

Kovshuk - Andrey Case -

Possible Agent Gorb 53-54 in U.S.  
ref to Anatole's previous case  
0 40% -

Query by Solle -

Gorb Gorb + Nosenko closest friends  
closer than Golitsyn + Gorb  
add Churakov - his secrets -  
Anatole good friend  
Solle did Gorb talk about Nosenko - yes  
some -

Churakov recommended Nos, i.e.,  
from American dept not from top  
doctor - Nos knew what ask when  
well, Kovalenkov.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-52 1954~~SECRET~~  
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
UPGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical sp Directorate -

Deputy 2nd CD

electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks.

letter re Air Research from Soviec?

Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRACHEV may have been case officer  
from US to London -Pechoroskin investigation -  
independent? if not what does Pechoroskin know -Supported V. A. Dvorkin  
Maurice de Tocque -

Dvorski - Vakreshev

SASHA aspect - did not report  
Kopiekin - special status under Grubans  
he should know - important - no details  
56-59- 60 A down w/ place where he was

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM  
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikitaev

Pictures travel Germany, Kursk - Moscow

SASHA (color) from London  
pictures from Europe update A.

Victor Kostov CIA -

Article about recruitment CIA of KGB -  
Whence Also - Pearson how came about  
things - ref by Novak -

Mannedora Tauran -

analysis FBI reporting -

overlap similarities NOS & G info -

Precis found -

Wire code was allegedly,



OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD



SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Sacr with Guinean fiance

Craigmore - possibly O'neal  
May 60 - Rosenberg

Morone (Zorow)

I/ appear Strabocca b1-<sup>(C)</sup> case  
revised -

Koushuk Dec 60. Jan 61  
2 cases cannot be connected -

[REDACTED] info in 1959 -  
no recruitment

60 recruitment Sub and explained -

F - 45 Minutes - Roll out -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside —  
NOS' explanation —

Dweller —  
most crucial point — see himself  
not others — /  
Model — Garbler

TAPE 3

Garbler  
K HORBALLY (Horbally)

Popov case indication that KGB  
learned he warned CIA the under  
control. Clear up — penetration CIA —

KOVSTUK arrival — connected  
Langelle — evidence —

Recruited CIA man Moscow  
before Langelle. Kovstuk would work  
in Wash.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Trip at Koz Shahr, sleeping  
drinking may be cover-ups -

Will not analyze Chepavov  
paper -  
dis (mis) information-major by  
itself.

also support No Sakeo -  
ground work for new operations.

~~che~~ chepavov heads of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of  
Section 2 Hukov in 58 - aug -  
worked against diplomats -  
inconsistencies - against  
military - clear to Nosenko -

Analysis info-not convincing  
mission

This information fits Counter  
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence we doubt  
prosecutor - sent

60-61 crucial clause to  
give him status access to  
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions  
to come later -

CIA - code man 60-61

Pukovskiy case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Pukovskiy —

JA - may not want comment -

Oswald - nothing to state  
First polygraph - I had heard  
nothing before assassination

French leads - we  
have not organized

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Gaulle - French leader  
don't work w/ N -

Viaduct - British assault  
along lines French -

Motivation - not explained

Bangkok - case figured prominently to come to our attention

Coordinating Committee  
FCD & SCD / - not exactly  
Coordinating Section within  
FCD - not 2 Directorates  
mainly coordinating intelligence  
and work against American  
intelligence -

Not for purpose of coordinating  
FCD & SCD intelligence  
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Main time came up was with Bureau  
FBI re Sasha -

French leads says

De Tocnay - party confirmed  
by Viaduct & Nosakos by

In interrogation - (commercial)

De Tocnay said he approached  
& gave some info to Gribanov

Chalet said per A -

(A) → GorBunov (Gribanov)

Giving away of De Tocnay -

DST says no recruitment  
agreed she an agent

(Canadian) Rock Bottom - Canadian Club -  
(base) No leads fit Blitzen leads -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

60-61 Noseenko is position

(Anatole Reviewing Soile Noseenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation"  
from Noseenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of Sov EMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Noseenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Doc. 60-Jan 61 - in offices  
V. PETROW'S Section, Petrow told personnel he could not find anyone reports an fact look for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

✓ cler - cliffla

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	(2) 60-61 Noseckos -	FILE NUMBER

If Petrov not responsible for  
treason, why would he have  
"mixed" report in his Section?  
A overlooked him ask for  
reports.

A says he is sure that before his  
defection N would have no  
problem learning about  
recruitments and operations.  
Nribanov anticipated  
successes - rewards  
followed in few days - this  
knowledge. Point A makes it  
that N would know - he  
could analyze who doing  
what and piece together  
with announcements &  
rewards to cases and  
targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Stansberg Case  
America House

A says noweuse that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - has asked questions Stansberg identification - question is still mixed. Solis stated Stansberg and Molone identification and that Skvortsov used English and went to America House with Finnish party. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna Skvortsov did not speak French - A could be wrong - maybe Skvortsov started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM  
7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP I  
EXCLUDED FROM  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)